

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/865,281	05/29/2001	Heinz Kohler	411.35629PC2	5172	
20457	7590 09/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	LI, TERRY, STOUT & KF	HUYNH, P	HUYNH, PHUONG N		
1300 NORTH SUITE 1800	SEVENTEENTH STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			1644		
				DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/865,281	KOHLÉR, HEINZ		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Phuong Huynh	1644		

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Phuong Huynh	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
·	a) The period for reply expires <i>three</i> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	olionee with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filad within two month	a of the data of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☒ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		IE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducina or cimplifyina	the iccurs for				
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	ine issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: None.							
Claim(s) objected to: None.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12.							
13.							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment "...a peptide consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1 having membrane transport activity..." to claim 32 and "...a peptide consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1 having homophilic activity..." to claim 21 raise the issue of new matter. The peptide having transport activity as disclosed at page 3 and 18 of the specification is a signal peptide membrane-translocating sequence (MTS) or human Grb2 SH2 domain as demonstrate by Rojas et al. The specification discloses peptide consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1 has immuno-stimulatory activity (see page 13, page 14). However, the specification does not teach the peptide consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1 in the Ig fuion protein has membrane transport activity or homophilic activity. All rejections remain.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600